

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,418	10/10/2003	Masayuki Sumi	05905.0174	9609
22852 7590 9090120099 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			HSU, RYAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	777777777777777777777777777777777777777		3714	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/682 418 SUMI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RYAN HSU 3714 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.4-7.9 and 10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2,4-7,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/682,418

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on 7/14/09. Claims 7 and 9 have been amended and claim 8 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claim 10 has been newly added. Claims 2, 4-7, 9-10 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4-7, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satsukawa et al. (US 6,379,249 B1) and Kami et al. (US 5,853,324) and further in view Matsumoto (US 7,160,191 B2).

Regarding claims 7, 9-10, Satsukawa teaches a computer program product including a computer program causing a computer system to execute processing for determining whether or not bullets are virtually fired in response to an input operation of a player character colliding with an enemy-character that is computer controlled, and processing for displaying the enemy character in a virtual space viewed from a virtual viewpoint on a screen, the computer program causing the computer system to execute: a) determining whether or not a visual effect request for requesting visual effect processing is input by a player character(ie: the first player perspective of the virtual game where the player is interacting with the game the program waits for input)(see Fig. 2 and the related description thereof, col. 7: In col. 18: In 55); (c) displaying

Application/Control Number: 10/682,418

Art Unit: 3714

circumstances in the virtual space where the enemy-character is located based on a changed time scale (ie: elapsed progression in the game); (d) determining whether or not bullets that are virtually fired in response to an input operation of the player collide with the enemy-character being a shooting target or collide with bullets that are virtually fired from the enemy-character being a shooting target or collide with bullets that are virtually fired from the enemy-character and are shooting targets (see col. 7: In 28-65, col. 8: In 36-60); (e) displaying an image of the shooting target being shot at one the screen when bullets that are virtually fired responding to an input operation of the player colliding with the shooting target (ie: shoots a locust of bullets when player input is received) (see col. 8: In 24-55); (f) displaying a remaining time for the computer system to execute the display of circumstances (see col. 9: In 50-65); (g) decreasing the remaining time in proportion to an elapse time in which the computer system executes the displaying of circumstances (see col. 11: In 13-col. 12: In 62). However, Satsukawa is silent with respect to the specific teaching of a running time limit or remaining time in proportion to the elapsed time to change such things as the display speed of the enemy-character and restoring a time scale when the remaining time is over.

In an analogous gaming patent, Kami et al. teaches the implementation of a shooting game where an elapsed time reduces in game play that decreases in proportion to an elapsed time in which the computer system executes the displaying of circumstances. Additionally, the system of Kami teaches the determining of whether or not the remaining time as the element of a running clock to accomplish tasks in a game adds an element of excitement to the game. However, as taught in Kami the remaining time may be restored to a normal value when a certain accomplishment or progression through the game has been reached where the player may be

Art Unit: 3714

awarded more time or the scale will be reset to a preset amount of time (see time limit [380] of Fig. 3 and the related description thereof). Furthermore, Kami et al. teaches determining whether or not a plurality of bullets that are virtually fired in response to an input operation of the player consecutively collide with the enemy-character or with bullets that are virtually fired from the enemy-character and increasing the remaining time more when the plurality of bullets that are virtually fired in response to an input operation of the player consecutively collides with bullets that are virtually fired from the enemy-character than when the plurality of bullets that are virtually fired in response to an input operation of the player consecutively collide with neither the enemy-character nor bullets that are virtually fired from the enemy-character (see time limit [380] of Fig. 3 and the related description thereof, Fig. 8(a-c) and the related description thereof). One would be motivated to incorporate such features into that of shooting game in order to create another layer of intensity within the game play. It would also require the player accomplish the goals in the game to progress and eliminate the enemy player-characters efficiently. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the features taught in Satsukawa with that of Kami in order to create a computer program product that incorporated a time scale element that effected the progression of a video game at the time the invention was made. This would effectively create the predictable result of providing a means to increase the intensity and excitement in the player by having to clear a stage in an effective manner. However, although Satsukawa and Kami allow for a battle game to incorporate different time elements it is silent with respect to stating the ability to change "the time scale such that a display speed of at least the enemy-character and each one of the bullets fired from the enemy-character become slower when the visual effect request about the time

Art Unit: 3714

scale is input, wherein the time scale changes regardless of whether the bullets fired hit a target" and "displaying circumstances in the virtual space viewed from the virtual viewpoint on the screen where the enemy-character is located based on the changed time scale, wherein the time scale of the player in said displaying circumstances remains unchanged so that the player speed appears relatively faster than the speed of the enemy-character and the speed of each one of the bullets fired from the enemy-character".

In an analogous shooting game, Matsumoto teaches a feature that allows the player to control the player-character and control aspects of the fourth dimension, time. Matsumoto teaches that a game player can change the rate at which a game character is rendered in a video game with respect to the rendering rate of its surroundings to produce this effect (see abstract). This feature gives the appearance of the player character to move faster then other characters (including enemy-characters). Through this process the player is able to control the speed of the player-character to appear relatively faster in speed and each one of the bullets fired from opposing enemy characters for a predetermined period of time (see col. 3: ln 53-col. 4: ln 32). In the embodiment taught in Matsumoto, the player can access the time feature to alter the time axis of various objects in the game either the player character or the rendered enemy characters in the gaming environment to provide the advantage of easily attacking and defeating enemy-characters (see col. 7: In 15-46). Therefore Matsumoto teaches a system that changes the time scale such that the display speed of the player character becomes slower than normal and the display speed of the enemy character and the bullets are slower than the display speed of the player-character and (c) displaying circumstances in the virtual space viewed from the virtual viewpoint on the screen where the player-character and the enemy character are located based on the changed time

A - 11-11 0744

Art Unit: 3714

scale wherein the time scale of the player in the displaying circumstances is changed so that the speed of the player-character appears slower than usual and relatively faster than the speed of the enemy-character and the speed of each one of the bullets fired from the enemy-character. The feature was set up so that once the player adjusted the time scale it would provide the appearance that the player was moving faster than the objects around him and was able to move through the fourth dimension of the game (ie: time). The feature taught in Matsumoto provides the predictable result of providing the player character with a temporary advantage that is beneficial in shooting games when players are bombarded with enemy-characters attacking them. One would be motivated to incorporate such a feature in a shooting game not only to provide a visually appealing experience but also an advantage to the player during the play of the game. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the features of Matsumoto into the gaming method taught by Kami and Satsukawa to provide the player with an enhanced visual experience and advantage against enemy characters to yield the predictable result of providing more enjoyable video game.

Regarding claim 2, Satsukawa discloses the computer program product wherein the computer program product causes the computer system to execute whether processing transitions to bullet fire wait status where a bullet is fired from the enemy-character to the player-character within a predetermined time, and if processing transitions to the bullet fire wait status, the computer program causes the computer system to determine whether the player input called for a visual effects request (see Fig. 24 and the related description thereof, col. 12: In 32-67).

Regarding claim 4, Satsukawa disclose a computer program wherein the computer program product causes the computer system to determine whether the mode is a mode where two or more players play, and to update the remaining time so that the increasing amount of the remaining time when it is determined that the mode is a mode where two or more players play (see col. 9: In 39-col. 10: In 30), becomes different from the increasing amount of the remaining time in a mode where one player plays.

Regarding claim 5, Satsukawa disclose a program product wherein the computer program causes the computer system to determine whether or not the displaying of circumstances with respect to the image display processing and visual effects is being executed and if it is determined that the image display processing with the visual effects is being executed, the computer program causes the computer system to execute image effects processing for changing the display mode visually before and after the image display processing with the visual effects is executed (see Fig. 2 and the related description thereof, col. 8: In 23-54).

Regarding claim 6, Satsukawa disclose wherein the visual effect request input is a control signal, which is output to the computer system when a player steps on a foot pedal connected to the computer system (see col. 8: In 23-36).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's arguments directed towards the prior art of "Max Payne" have been considered and have been addressed above. Such arguments have been addressed above in the rejection incorporating the prior art of Matsumoto.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Ryan Hsu whose telephone number is (571)-272-7148. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Hotaling can be reached at (571)-272-4437.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR $\,$

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1-866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RH

August 27, 2009

/John M Hotaling II/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714